

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/902,718	07/12/2001	Fern Beauchamp	409p1	1545
7	2590 04/24/2002			
M. Koch 866 Main St. E. Hamilton, ON L8M 1L9			EXAMINER	
			DANGANAN, JONI BALDOS	
CANADA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/902,718

Applicant(s)

Fern Beauchamp

Examiner

Joni Danganan

Art Unit **3723**

The MAILING DATE of this	s communication appears or	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
arter SIX (O) MONTHS from the Ma	alling date of this communicati	1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ion.				
DE CONSIDERED (IMEIV.		reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will				
		riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this				
earned patent term adjustment. Se	uidii tiiree months after the m	tatute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). railing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status 1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b) 💢 This action					
closed in accordance with the	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-17</u>		is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
		is/are allowed.				
		is/are rejected.				
		is/are objected to.				
		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) 💢 The specification is objected	to by the Examiner.					
10) \square The drawing(s) filed on \underline{J}	0) The drawing(s) filed on Jul 12, 2001 is/are objected to by the Examiner.					
		is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved.				
12) The oath or declaration is ob						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).						
a) All b) Some* c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified of application from *See the attached detailed Office	i the international Bureau (ments have been received in this National Stage (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
		ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s)		mity under 30 0.0.0. 3 1 10(e).				
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		7				
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi	18) (iew (PTO-948) 19) [Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other:						
		-				

Application/Control Number: 09/902,718

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement.

37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for

consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the

specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been

cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because:

(a) the first Figure 8 should include lines 15-15 and 16-16;

(b) the second Figure 8 should be deleted; and

(c) Figures 19 and 20 appear to be exactly the same as Figures 12 & 13, and therefore, are

redundant.

Correction is required.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

(a) page 1, line 19, change "are" to --art--;

Application/Control Number: 09/902,718

Art Unit: 3723

- (b) page 7, line 16, change "an end" to --a first end--;
- (c) page 7, line 17, change "an end" to --a second end--;
- (d) page 8, line 12, change "the presently preferred" to --an alternate--;
- (e) page 8, line 15, insert -- of Figure 17-- after "cartridge";
- (f) page 9, line 1, insert -- of Figure 17-- after "barrel";
- (g) page 9, line 3, insert -- of Figure 17-- after "driver";
- (h) page 12, line 20, change "etui" to --equi--;
- (i) page 13, line 9, change "enter" to --enters--;
- (j) page 14, line 4, change "placed" to --place--;
- (k) page 14, line 9, change "slide able" to --slidable--;
- (l) page 16, line 13, change "service" to --surface--; and
- (m) in the abstract, line 1, change "The present invention a" to --A--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 4. Claims 1, 8 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - (a) Claim 1, line 4, insert --said-- before "tool bits";
 - (b) Claim 8, line 2, change "assemblies" to --assembly--; and
 - (c) Claim 9, line 2, change "channels" to --channel--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3723

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 2, 3, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In Claim 2 it is not clear what is meant by "said actuating means *including said tool bits* and being operable...".

Claim 16 recites the bit assemblies "include a bit extension." Is this "bit extension" different from the "flexible extension" already set forth in Claim 8?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 8. Claims 1-13 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Cluthe 6,332,384.

Art Unit: 3723

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 14 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lemieux 3,750,729, Sato 6,205,893, Orlitzky et al. 4,762,036 and Koehler 5,325,745 disclose multi-driver tools similar to the disclosed invention.

Bih-Lien 5,337,637 discloses a tool in the related art.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joni Danganan whose telephone number is (703) 305-5930.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

jbd

April 21, 2002

Joni B. Denganen